### Thames Tideway Tunnel Ian Fletcher Planning Projects Manager, Thames Tideway Tunnel



### The Great Stink, 1858







Thames Water

Creating a cleaner, healthier River Thames

### **Bazalgette's interceptor sewers**



# Victorian network

- Still in excellent condition. The backbone of our capital's sewerage system
- The sewerage system lacks the capacity to meet the needs of modern day London







### London's sewerage network today

#### **Percentage Connected**

0-5 % 5-10 %	Separate system
10-20 % 20-40%	Partially separate system
40-70% 70-100%	Combined system











# Why London needs the Thames Tideway Tunnel

- Environmental tides mean the sewage stays in the river for weeks, affecting dissolved oxygen levels and habitats
- Human frequency of Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO) discharges is a potential hazard to all river users
- Legal the UK fails to comply with the EU Urban Waste Water Treatment Directive
- **Economic** London's core infrastructure is essential for economic growth









# **Thames Tideway Strategic Study**

Action before sewer: Source Control and Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDS)	く
Within sewer network: Localised storage and separation	L.
In-river: More 'Bubbler' and 'Skimmer' vessels	S
Intercept overflows: Central storage and transfer	E L









# **Thames Tideway Strategic Study**

#### Two problems:

- Overloaded sewage treatment works
- Overloaded sewer network

#### **Three solutions:**



Creating a cleaner, healthier River Thames



Creating a cleaner, healthier River Thames



Thames Water







# **Thames Tideway Tunnel**

- Will reduce CSO discharges from once a week to four a year on average:
  - Intercepting 22 CSOs
  - Indirectly controlling 12 CSOs
- Capacity: 1.5 million cubic metres (including Lee Tunnel)
- Length: 25 kilometres
- Width: 7.2 metres internal diameter
- Gradient: The tunnel needs to fall one metre every 790 metres so it can be self-cleansing.







# How we will build the tunnel







Section	Length (km)	Internal diameter (m)	Maximum depth (m)
Acton Storm Tanks to Carnwath Road Riverside main tunnel	6.9	6.5	42
Carnwath Road Riverside to Abbey Mills Pumping Station main tunnel	18.2	7.2	66
Greenwich Pumping Station to Chambers Wharf – the Greenwich connection tunnel	4.6	5.0	56
King George's Park to Carnwath Road Riverside – the Frogmore connection tunnel	1.1	2.6 – 3.0	26

# **Route to consent**

The planning challenge

- Standard planning route = make separate applications to 14 local planning authorities
- Hybrid bill requires willing sponsoring government department and takes time
- Mayor of London call in is not practical
- Major Project Inquiries rules takes too long
- Planning Act 2008 = single application to IPC for Development Consent Order for Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects (NSIP)







# Planning Act 2008

- Introduced as Planning system perceived as too slow for Major Infrastructure delivery
- Heathrow T5 was at Inquiry for 6 ½ years (opening to decision)
- Main issues in Planning Act 2008
  - National Statement of Policy
  - Application determined by independent body
  - Specific timescales





# **Route to consent**

### The planning challenge

- BUT, a sewage tunnel is not identified in the Planning Act 2008
- Section 14(3) Order laid before Parliament 26 March 2012 to bring Thames Tunnel within 2008 Act (ie. NSIP status)
- National Policy Statement for Waste Water includes the Thames Tideway Tunnel







#### **Waste Water National Policy Statement**

- Designated 26 March 2012
- Key for establishing need for the Thames Tideway Tunnel

"The examining authority and the decision matter should undertake any assessment of an application for the development of the Thames Tunnel on the basis that the national need for this infrastructure has been demonstrated. The appropriate strategic alternatives to a tunnel have been considered and it has been concluded that it is the only option to address the problem of discharging unacceptable levels of untreated sewage into the River Thames within a reasonable time at a reasonable cost. "





# **Project update**





# Consultation

- Phase one consultation

   (13 September 2010 14 January 2011)
- Interim engagement
   (11 March 2011 16 August 2011)
- Phase two consultation (4 November 2011 – 10 February 2012)
- Targeted consultation (6 June 2012 – 4 July 2012)
- Section 48 Publicity (16 July 2012 – 5 October 2012)







### **Application for development consent**

- Application submitted to Planning Inspectorate: 28 February 2013
- Application accepted by PINS: 27 March 2013
- PINS will appointed five inspectors
  - Inspectors will review our submission and make their recommendations
  - Inspectors' recommendations submitted to the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government and the Secretary of State for the Environment who have three months to make a decision







# **Planning milestones**

- Registration:
   17 April 2013 28 May 2013
- **Preliminary Meeting:** likely to be September 2013
- Examination period: six months in length, expected to close in March 2014







# Legacy





# **Environmental benefits**

- UK will comply with UWWTD
- Larger more sustainable fish population
- Potential for increased biodiversity
- Greater balance between fish and invertebrates
- Increased recreational use of river







# Jobs and skills

- Training of between 250 and 400 construction apprentices
- 20% of employment at key tunnel drive sites to come from within the borough
- Overall 25% of jobs from these boroughs and the neighbouring riverside boroughs
- At least 30% of employees on the river should live in Greater London, Kent or Essex







# **Our physical legacy**





# Achieving good design

- Essential focus of the project
- Used a number of strategies to deliver and develop good design:
  - designs developed in an integrated team
  - stakeholder engagement/public consultation
  - design reviews (CABE)
  - Environmental assessment process



Victoria Embankment foreshore













# **Design principles and components**

- 24 sites linked by two common factors: below ground infrastructure, River Thames
- Project-wide and site-specific design principles
- Design principles split into six subcategories
  - integration of functional components
  - heritage design
  - in-river structures
  - landscape design
  - lighting design
  - site drainage



Signature ventilation columns





# **Key points**

- A Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project
- Paid for by Thames Water customers
- Government to provide contingent financial support for the project
- Delivered by a new stand-alone Infrastructure Provider (IP)
- A world first in terms of financial structure
- Has many stakeholders
- Largest private infrastructure project in Europe





# **Questions?**



